



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,252	12/18/2001	Brian M. Scally	GB 000178	3773
	7590 · 01/16/2007 LLECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
	,			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	3 MONTHS 01/16/2007 PAPER		ER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/023,252	SCALLY, BRIAN M.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	HUY T. NGUYEN	2621		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)☐ ☐ ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositio	on of Claims				
4 5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (8)□ (Applicatio 9)□ T 10)⊠ T	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 12/18/01.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate		

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim1 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: "characterised" in the claims should be changed to characterized. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maturi et al (5,559,999).

Regarding claim 1, Maturi teaches a compressed-data processing arrangement comprising buffer means (20) (44-50) for receiving a stream of compressed data and de-multiplexing means (22) for retrieving navigation information (header information)embedded within the compressed data, characterized in that the said buffer means comprises a common buffer and further including pointer memory means for storing a plurality of pointers arranged to identify sectors of data in the said common buffer for

Art Unit: 2621

the onward delivery of data to decoding means (column 5 line 56 to column 6, line 37).

Regarding claim 2. Maturi teaches that the common buffer and pointer memory are located in a common memory block (Figs. 3-4).

Regarding claim 3, Maturi discloses an arrangement as claimed in Claim 1 or 2, wherein the de-multiplexing means comprises a single de-multiplexer (22) arranged to provide for common processing and de-multiplexing of all data within the data stream substantially at the same time (column 5, lines 50-65).

Regarding claim 4, Maturi discloses an arrangement as claimed in Claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein the pointers are arranged to identify buffer pointer off-set collections within the sectors of data stored within the common buffer (Fig. 4, column 5, line 57 to column 6 line 20).

Regarding claim 5, Maturi discloses an arrangement as claimed in any one of Claims 1-4, wherein the pointers are arranged to determine the location of data sectors in the common buffer, and such that the data is then referenced by a list of pointers seeking to identify the order of the groups of sectors (column 6, lines 1-20).

Regarding claim 6, Maturi disclose an arrangement as claimed in any one of Claims 1-4, when the pointer memory is arranged to include a second list of pointers serving to identify the location of packets of data and also to identify the data contained therein (column 6, line 20-48).

Art Unit: 2621

Method claims 9-13 Correspond to apparatus claims 1-6. Therefore method claims 9-13 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claims 1-6.

4. Claims 1-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Willis (6222979).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Willis et al (6222979) discloses a DVD player including a data compressing processing arrangement

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Willis discloses a DVD player and compressed-data processing arrangement (Figs. 2-3, column 3, lines 50-65, column 4, lines 27-55, column 7, line 1-55).

comprising buffer means (60) for receiving a stream of compressed data and de-multiplexing means (505) for retrieving navigation information (header information)embedded within the compressed data, characterized in that the said buffer means comprises a common buffer and further including pointer memory means (60A) for storing a plurality of pointers arranged to identify sectors of data in the said common buffer for the onward delivery of data to decoding means (columns 5 and 7, column 8, lines 38-65).

Regarding claim 2. Willis teaches that the common buffer and pointer memory are located in a common memory block (60).

Regarding claim 3, Willis discloses an arrangement as claimed in Claim 1 or 2, wherein the de-multiplexing means comprises a single de-multiplexer (22) arranged

Art Unit: 2621

to provide for common processing and de-multiplexing of all data within the data stream substantially at the same time (column 4, lines 45-55).

Regarding claim 4, Willis discloses an arrangement as claimed in Claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein the pointers are arranged to identify buffer pointer off-set collections within the sectors of data stored within the common buffer (column 7 1-25, column 8, lines 46-67).

Regarding claim 5, Willis discloses an arrangement as claimed in any one of Claims 1-4, wherein the pointers are arranged to determine the location of data sectors in the common buffer, and such that the data is then referenced by a list of pointers seeking to identify the order of the groups of sectors (column 7, lines 1-40).

Regarding claim 6, Willis disclose an arrangement as claimed in any one of Claims 1-4, when the pointer memory is arranged to include a second list of pointers serving to identify the location of packets of data and also to identify the data contained therein (column 7, lines 1-30).

Method claims 9-13 Correspond to apparatus claims 1-6. Therefore method claims 9-13 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claims 1-6.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ottesen (5,721,815).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 8, Ottesen discloses a set-top-box arrangement including a compressed-data processing arrangement (column 19 line 45 to column 20, line 15, Figs. 11, 19 and 20).

6. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al (6,628,899).

Regarding claims 1-6 and 9-13, Yamamoto discloses including a data compressing processing arrangement

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Yamamoto discloses a compressed-data processing arrangement (Figs. 1-5).

comprising buffer means (30) for receiving a stream of compressed data and de-multiplexing means (3) for retrieving navigation information (header information) embedded within the compressed data, characterized in that the said buffer means comprises a common buffer (10) and further including pointer memory means (11) for storing a plurality of pointers arranged to identify sectors of data in the said common buffer for the onward delivery of data to decoding means (24)(columns 4-5).

Regarding claim 2, Yamamoto teaches that the common buffer and pointer memory are located in a common memory block (30, Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 3, Yamamoto discloses an arrangement as claimed in Claim 1 or 2, wherein the de-multiplexing means (3) comprises a single de-multiplexer arranged to provide for common processing and de-multiplexing of all data within the data stream substantially at the same time (column 4, lines 55-68).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 4, Yamamoto discloses an arrangement as claimed in Claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein the pointers are arranged to identify buffer pointer off-set collections

Page 7

Regarding claim 5, Yamamoto discloses an arrangement as claimed in any one of Claims 1-4, wherein the pointers are arranged to determine the location of data sectors in the common buffer, and such that the data is then referenced by a list of pointers seeking to identify the order of the groups of sectors (column 6, lines 20-40)

within the sectors of data stored within the common buffer (column 6).

Regarding claim 6, Yamamoto disclose an arrangement as claimed in any one of Claims 1-4, when the pointer memory is arranged to include a second list of pointers serving to identify the location of packets of data and also to identify the data contained therein (column 6).

Method claims 9-13 correspond to apparatus claims 1-6. Therefore method claims 9-13 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claims 1-6.

7. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori et al (5854873).

Regarding claim 7, Mori discloses a DVD player including a compressed data processing arrangement (Fig. 26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maturi in view of Official Notice.

Maturi fails to teaches the compressed-data processing arrangement are arranged in a set top box.

However, using a compressed processing arrangement in a set top box is well known in the art. Therefore, official notice is taken and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Maturi with by using arranging the processing arrangement in a set top thereby enhancing the capacity of the processing arrangement of Maturi.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.N